

Based on events since the Dec. 10th meeting that includes money being removed and lawyer involvement, I am calling on the board to finally function together and make the decision to remove Steven Koko's vote and position of authority within CFC. He has disqualified himself from this position multiple ways multiple times.

In my view, outside of his hidden, unrepentant, and continued sin which disqualifies him as a leader and puts CFC and its board in a dangerous situation, two events have brought us where we are today - 1) Denise unknowingly informing me that Steven Koko had slept with at least 1 woman this year after my confrontation with him near the end of 2021 and 2) Solomon Kepkey involving himself in the process of telling the board. I will attempt to address both of these.

My letter to call Steven Koko to undergo drastic discipline has been used to garner favor for him and present him as a victim. I stand by that letter. He is not a victim. He is a manipulator, a groomer of teenagers and an abuser of women. He doesn't believe or see these things because Steven Koko has greatly deceived himself. These aren't accusations. These were shown to be true on December 10th. He needed to be removed from Colombia or who knows what he would be doing right now. He was confronted many times by friends and pastors and given opportunities to repent. To this day he still hasn't. Even last week he has lied and manipulated and tried to pay off at least one woman. But still people are fighting for him and feeling sorry for him. Of course, he needs help, but this isn't it. A ministry isn't a bank account or what's written on paper. I've said it and say again - let God give him a ministry if and when the time comes. I've been clear with my views on where that leaves CFC. It's obvious that I never wanted the money or to take it over. I'm wanting what's right before God, but let the board actually come to a decision without lawyers or mistrust or shady tactics.

That letter and final confrontation of Koko happened not because I was interim president. I approached him as a friend and a fellow minister of the Gospel. He needed drastic help and he and the women around him needed to be protected.

To clarify:

- I never kept him from speaking to CFC members - read the letter. It doesn't say he can't. After its delivery he spoke to those he wanted to. He just had to ask due to his history of manipulation.
- I never tried to fire the board - that's not even possible
- I never tried to maliciously take over his ministry - he needs serious help. We didn't know for how long or if he'd actually do what was needed and were preparing for either a long restoration or potentially for him to never return based on his own choices. I would hope by what I've presented in the last 10 days you'd at least question if you've been misled in this.

I could go through every word I've said and written and easily and honestly give my reasoning for it. But no one is asking and no one seems to want to know. Did anyone even look at the WhatsApp thread or just read Solomon's 60 page document and believe it as true?

Now I am asking why?

Why were Denise, Scott, and myself tried and sentenced without being allowed a voice in that trial. The December 10th meeting was greatly opposed by Solomon and Koko not because of its irrelevance and the "facts" showing we were disqualified to present anything, but because they are afraid of what may come to light if anyone is given a voice. Now that it happened, do you believe that it was irrelevant or did anything come out that may have been important for you to know to truly help Koko and CFC?

You may not know this, but since order currently seems to be elevated as higher importance than the abuse of vulnerable women - Two previous CFC executive directors and CFC boards dating back to 2018

questioned Solomon's involvement with CFC and Kokos integrity in involving Solomon without vetting and other actions of his within CFC. One was served a cease and desist and one resigned after being pushed out of any discussions or proper order on the matter. Voices that were silenced.

So why did Solomon so seriously involve himself once again in the affairs of CFC? And why did that initially raise red flags yet once again? Is he concerned for his friend? Is he rescuing CFC from a wildly deceptive plan devilishly crafted by Denise, Scott, and Tim. Umm...no.

He lied. He covered up. He manipulated Koko, Steve T. and many others and when things started to get hot, he abandoned his passionate charge and has been hiding in the shadows working through those who have believed his twisted "facts" and half truths.

If he had never involved himself I have no doubt the board would have looked at what we were about to present and without the strong bias of this false narrative would have understood and made their decisions accordingly.

If this is true then why would he do it?

Solomon and Maria have known about at least one of Kokos sexual relationships for at least a year. Koko has known this full well and continues to lie about it to this day.

There is strong evidence to support this but I have to admit I am left wondering if anyone even cares.

There could be more reasons, but I believe Solomons cover up was to protect his ministry as he has continued to partner with Koko even with this knowledge and been used at least once to keep a sexual partner and possible abuse victim from going to her pastor. In this lie, he gets to be the hero, get control of Koko's restoration and possibly even CFC (originally personally but maybe now through Ama who has changed her story and told half truths at his request). He then controls the narrative and uses his influence to garner unquestioned support from friends who trust him like Gary York, Charles Green, and his new friend, Steve Theofanus.

I believe that Steve T. is doing what he believes is right to protect his friend Steven Koko. He's my friend too, Steve. The actions I've taken were never to hurt him or CFC, they were to protect them, but now in light of the accusations (which at the very least I ask you to bring their validity into question), I have backed right off of everything and am asking the board to make a decision. But the board cannot include Steven Koko. Not so I get my way, but because I've personally never seen a bigger conflict of interest.

And why is everyone afraid to just let the board make their own decision without Steven Koko? Could it be because of that narrative of evil Denise and Tim Harrison and his bandits? Is that really true or is it for self preservation by Koko and Solomon?

Steve T. you've been lied to, but allow me to address my concerns as you've believed that lie.

- If you are financing the lawyer that sent us the cease and desist on behalf of CFC then how is that not similar to what Denise LaRocco did and acted on behalf of the board without board approval? This board continues to this day in its dysfunction and could find itself in real legal trouble as they focus on each other and not what's best for CFC and the people it's hurt. I can finance lawyers too. I was a donor before I was Interim president and there are strong signs of misappropriation of funds to pay for airbnb's where fornication and abuse took place. I chose not to hire those lawyers so that's not a threat but I am asking the board to investigate that.

- On December 10th you said that a man who starts a business should keep his business. That may happen in small businesses. As a businessman myself, I have sat in some high level board meetings. Mum and pop shops are owner run not board run. I'm not against small business, but you don't have to look far to see board-run companies where the founder and visionary are quickly removed by a board. Often it's because the one who started it isn't the one to grow it. In some cases (just look at Uber) it's because its founder and president became a liability for the company. In those cases, emergency board meetings are called and the liability is quickly removed. That's how functional boards handle their business.
- On December 10th you said that the letter that I wrote to Koko telling him he was no longer fit for ministry was 'harsh' (correct me if I am using the wrong word, but I think that was it or close to it). I take no offense to your opinion and agree the letter was harsh. I even state that with different words in the letter. But it also was loving. I don't believe that you've had much experience in restoring a man's heart after they have fallen into sin. I have. It comes with the job. I've also attempted to see it done with Koko. I had 1 little sign of repentance from him. It was at the end of one week under those harsh conditions and before Solomon manipulated Koko for his own selfish interests. That letter wasn't a life sentence, but I knew based on his previous and very recent actions that Koko would try to manipulate his way out of finally getting the help he really needs and therefore needed strict discipline. What people don't realize is that those conditions were to gladly be removed once we started to see those signs of repentance and brokenness to truly submit to a restoration process. They were also put on pause once a committee was started for his restoration. I was happy as that brought hope for him I didn't currently have.

There are specific situations as a parent where tough love is needed. It looks mean from the outside, but a discerned parent who wants to truly help their child will discipline them accordingly. Steve T. you are giving an obese kid candy and are just happy that he smiles back. But you're also enabling him to get diabetes and other health issues and I call that into question. Tough love is needed and this boy shouldn't be allowed to run the house.

- On December 10th your response after the video was that Koko experienced some things as a child that would explain why he would beat a woman. I don't disagree. That is where his distorted views and ability to do so originates, but in your ongoing attempts to thwart Kokos removal from the board I now have to wonder if that was a justification and not an explanation on your part. So let's state the obvious. The woman in that video is not Steven Kokos child (not that it's even right to beat a child). She was a teenager who was sexually abused all of her life and had no one to protect her. She found herself abandoned by "pastor" Solomon and "friend" Maria and left with a man twice her age in a country that wasn't hers and one she couldn't leave. At this time she was perversely being "trained" to be a wife (I'll call it a slave) and beaten multiple times with a belt and made to stand naked in front of a blasting A/C until she almost fainted. That is one woman. We don't know how many more there are, but I trusted my friend Steven Koko up until 1 month ago and there are strong reasons I no longer can and do not believe he's told us half of it. You should proceed with the same caution.
- Imagine if Solomon hadn't gotten involved and we presented the information to you as planned. Where would this have gone? I laughed in the meeting at the accusation that I tried to fire the board. How am I allowed to do that again? If I could I'd fire you all right now because after 8 days since he's admitted fornication, abuse of a teenager and removing all of the donors money there's still a debate if he should get a vote. But I can't fire the board nor could I have. Denise wanted out, I believed Joe would follow due to health issues but it was his choice, and thought that you may stay because you didn't know me and would want to make sure that we were truly there to

help Koko and CFC. I was fine with that and believed you would see that we were there for those reasons and eventually resign once you were confident. But that didn't happen because all of those plans were intercepted and distorted by a man who now sits in the shadows.

In this process I have lost what I considered to be a close friend and the trust of many who I've ministered with for the past 7 years. But I have to do what I believe is right before God no matter what the cost. I answer to Him not man.

I ask you to do the same. If you truly care about order all of the sudden please start with Biblical order not legal order and move on from there. Your heads have been in the sand for a long time and Joe is the only board member that I have heard say "I need to hear from God" and shut out all other voices (even ones in favor of my narrative). I commend him for that and encourage the rest of you to do the same. Shut out my voice if it means you'll seek God on the matter. Maybe He'll show you something you don't currently see.

You call yourselves City for Christ. If you continue to operate in the dark, in ignorance, in divisiveness, in doing what "seems right to man" and allow Steven Koko any degree of power then I beg of you to remove Christ's name from your title. Christ isn't in what you do. You can call yourselves Cities for Koko. That's more accurate to how this ministry has been run. Koko fears what he can't control. He's controlled the board for years and been allowed to do what he wanted. He abused that freedom and power and led himself and CFC into dangerous waters. It needs to stop. For once he needs to trust the board he appointed to make the right decisions for CFC. I'll do the same.

I pray and hope that this letter is given some sort of voice and that you continue to give voices to CFC staff - past and present, to girls who have been preyed upon, and to women who have been hurt. The Bible asks us to protect those who can't protect themselves, not mantles or ministries. You now have an opportunity to do just that and I really hope you do. I am for you not against you.

Humbly,  
Tim Harrison